

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,557	02/27/2004	C. Brent Dane	MICI 1003-2	9248	
22470 7	7590 04/25/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP P O BOX 366			EVANS, GEOFFREY S		
HALF MOON BAY, CA 94019			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1725		
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,557	DANE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Geoffrey S. Evans	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	1. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
	parto quayro, 1000 0.5. 11, 10		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☑ Claim(s) 2 and 4-21 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	**	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected to by the B	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	• •		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	,
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060111, 20060113.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		4.1

Application/Control Number: 10/789,557 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the request for continued examination (RCE) of 7 April 2006.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e); (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brannon et al. in U.S. Patent No. 4,508,749 in view of Gawa et al. in U.S. Patent No. 6,090,330. Brannon et al. discloses a system for applying a laser beam to work pieces comprising: a laser system (laser; see figure 1), a relay telescope (lenses 1 and 2) and a baffle with a pinhole opening (iris). The size of the pinhole (iris) is disclosed as being in a size ranging from 20 microns to as large as 1mm(see column 4,lines 40-41) and it

Application/Control Number: 10/789,557 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

is further disclosed that if the opening (iris) is on the order of 1mm in size a "Fresnel-Like" diffraction pattern is observed (see top lines of column 8; in other words the opening is large enough to easily pass the output beam while also inherently blocking away some of the light reflected from the workpiece from passing to the laser system). Brannon et al. does not disclose target delivery optics. Gawa et al. teaches target delivery optics (mirror elements 28x, 28y). It would have been obvious to adapt Brannon et al. in view of Gawa et al. to provide this to easily send the laser beam to desired locations on the workpiece.

5. Claims 2,4-21 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey S Evans whose telephone number is (571)-272-1174. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30AM to 4:00 PM, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on (571)-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding/s/assigned is (571)-273-8300.

GSE

Geoffrey S. Evans Primary Examiner Group 1700